Growing Camelina as Second Crop in Northern France to Supply a Local Biorefinery Using a Participatory Design Approach to Produce Actionable Knowledge



Margot Leclère, Chantal Loyce, Marie-Hélène Jeuffroy

UMR Agronomie, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay

78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France

margot.leclere@inra.fr

1 BACKGROUND

Cropping systems with diversification crops are needed to meet the increasing demand of sustainable feedstock for food, feed, fibre, and fuel (*Ghatak et al. 2011*). Lack of agronomic knowledge mobilised in/for action (i.e. actionable knowledge) is one of the obstacles to develop these diversification crops (*Meynard et al. 2013*).

Camelina (*Camelina Sativa*) is a promising little-known oilseed crop adapted to European growing conditions and with multiple potential food and non-food uses because of its specific fatty acid profile (*Berti et al. 2016; Zanetti et al. 2017*). In northern France, camelina is one of the crops investigated to sustainably supply a local biorefinery.

According to the C-K theory (Hatchuel and Weil, 2003), a design process results in (i) exploring the space of concepts leading to innovation and (ii) producing new knowledge or at least identifying the knowledge to be produced.

How a design activity can support the production of actionable knowledge on a little-known diversification crop and on its introduction in cropping systems?

② GLOBAL APPROACH

Managing a design activity by combining a multiactors workshop and on-farm trials to produce actionable knowledge and identify knowledge gaps

The multi-actors workshop One day – 17 participants (farmers, advisors, researchers and transformers)

> Step 1 Knowledge sharing

Step 2 Design of crop sequences with camelina as second crop

Step 3 Design of camelina management options



3 RESULTS

a) During the workshop, agronomic, economic, environmental and quality criteria for camelina production and camelina-based cropping systems were defined from the expected services mentioned by actors regarding eight designed crop sequences. Table 1: Example of assessment criteria defined from expected services formulated by various actors of the value chain during the design of two categories of crop sequences

Camelina introduction into crop sequences (Example of crop sequence designed)	Expected services	Assessment criteria derived fron expected services
Introducing pure camelina as second crop after a winter cereal Ex : Rapeseed – Wheat – Barley – Camelina – Sugarbeet – Wheat Ex: Wheat- Wheat-Camelina – Maize- Maize	A: Increase profitabilityB: Reduce GHG emission, Store carbon in the soilC: Reach low levels of impurities and erucic acids but high protein or oil contents	 A → Profitability ; Yield B → GHG emission; Carbon storage C → Oil and protein content; Fatty acid profile; Dockage (level of impurities)
Introducing camelina as second crop after early harvest legume Ex : Wheat- Winter or Canned Pea – Camelina – Sugarbeet	A+ B + C + D: Limit mineral nitrogen inputs E : Harvest camelina at the right seed moisture for commercialisation	 D → Nitrogen fertiliser rate of camelina E → Seed moisture content at harvest

Figure 1: Example of one of the crop management options designed during the workshop, "camelina as double crop after winter barley" (*in red : knowledge gaps*). Trial 4 of the on-farm trials was designed to address the uncertainties related to camelina sowing, nitrogen inputs and tillage.

b) During the workshop, the design of camelina management options raised questions about camelina sowing, fertilisation, and weeding management and camelina crop functioning. Some farmers mobilised these knowledge gaps to design their own on-farm trials.

 Establish a crop competitive with weeds
 Optimal sowing rate?

 Winter barley
 Camelina sowing



On-farm trials

Four farmers – 13 crops managements (CM) options designed, tested and assessed by farmers – a monitoring by researchers through semi-directive interviews, field tours with farmers and yield measurements





Figure 2: Qualitative appraisal of the on-farm trials by farmers (Green = satisfactory, Orange = satisfactory but with some concerns, Red: unsatisfactory, NA: Non-assessed) **and farmers' learning and decision rules associated**



 c) During the on-farm trials, monitoring indicators were identified from the qualitative assessment of camelina crop management options by farmers.
 Decisions rules for camelina management were formulated.
 Farmers learned about camelina crop functioning.





(4) CONCLUSION

-> Several crop management options of camelina as second crop have been identified as promising by farmers thanks to on-farm trials.

Some knowledge gaps identified during the approach and still unaddressed, such as camelina capacity to reach full maturity when grown as second crop, should be a priority for future action-oriented and local research programs.

Combining a multi-actors workshop and on-farm trials managed by farmers is a promising participatory design approach to support the production of actionable knowledge and to pursue the identification of research priorities for little-known diversification crops.

References:

Berti, M., Gesch, R., Eynck, C., Anderson, J., Cermak, S., 2016. Camelina uses, genetics, genomics, production, and management. Industrial Crops and Products 94, 690–710.

Ghatak, H.R., 2011. Biorefineries from the perspective of sustainability: Feedstocks, products, and processes. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 15, 4042–4052.

Meynard, J.-M., Messéan, A., Charlier, A., Charrier, F., Fares, M., Le Bail, M., Magrini, M.-B., Savini, I., 2013. Freins et leviers à la diversification des cultures : étude au niveau des exploitations agricoles et des filières. OCL 20, D403.

Zanetti, F., Eynck, C., Christou, M., Krzyżaniak, M., Righini, D., Alexopoulou, E., Stolarski, M.J., Van Loo, E.N., Puttick, D., Monti, A., 2017. Agronomic performance and seed quality attributes of Camelina sativa L. crantz) in multi-environment trials across Europe and Canada. Industrial Crops and Products 107, 602–608.





